

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- X
RENELIO PEREZ, et ano.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

24-cv-1006 (LAK)

RA..L ALEJANDRO OCASIO RUIZ (../k/a
RAUW ALEJANDRO), et al.,

Defendants
----- X

ORDER

LEWIS A. KAPLAN, *District Judge*.


Jurisdiction is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332. The complaint fails adequately to allege the existence of subject matter jurisdiction because, perhaps among other things, it fails adequately to allege:

- ☒ The nationality and citizenship of one or more natural persons. *See, e.g., Newman-Green, Inc. v. Alfonzo-Larrain*, 490 U.S. 826, 828 (1989); *Sun Printing & Publishing Ass'n v. Edwards*, 194 U.S. 377 (1904); *Leveraged Leasing Administration Corp. v. PacifiCorp Capital, Inc.*, 87 F.3d 44 (2d Cir. 1996).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more corporations. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1).
- ☐ The citizenship of one or more partnerships. *See Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.*, 494 U.S. 195 (1990).
- ☒ The exact nature and citizenship of one or more alleged limited liability companies. *See Handlesman v. Bedford Village Green Assocs. L.P.*, 213 F.3d 48, 52 (2d Cir. 2000).

Absent the filing, on or before March 4, 2024 of an amended complaint adequately alleging the existence of subject matter jurisdiction, this action will be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 21, 2024



Lewis A. Kaplan
United States District Judge